

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/767,690	01/29/2004	Gary W. Matula	HES 2003-IP-012771U1	5580	
28857	7590 08/23/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CRAIG W. RODDY HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES			WOOD, ELIZABETH D		
P.O. BOX 143	*		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DUNCAN, OK 73536-0440			1755		

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		L A series - Com No	A mulicant/o				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$			
Office Action Summary		10/767,690	MATULA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Elizabeth D. Wood	1755				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
THE - Extending - If the - If No - Faile Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS C	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a run. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
Status							
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12 July 2005					
·		This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-44</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 3-44 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	tion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for D All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bussee the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachmer		A) T Intention S	ummary (PTO-413)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)	8) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/12/05. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/767,690

Art Unit: 1755

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 12, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Application/Control Number: 10/767,690

Art Unit: 1755

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 and 3-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,886,550 to Alexander et al. in view of 2002/056404 to Chatterji

Alexander discloses a grout composition comprising sodium bentonite, calcium bentonite, a silica material and a dispersant in amounts commensurate with those claimed herein by applicants. Alexander differs from the instantly claimed invention in the failure to recite a carbon source. See particularly claim 1 and column 5.

Chatterji is relied on for the teaching that carbon sources such as graphite are known additives to grout compositions. It would have been obvious to add the carbon source taught by Chatterji to the composition of Alexander to arrive at the instantly claimed composition because Chatterji teaches the carbon material increases thermal conductivity. See particularly paragraph [0029].

Regarding limitations in the dependent claims such as specific amounts of components, particle sizes and one sack products, it is considered that the reference broadly discloses such features and the skilled artisan can clearly optimize within the disclosed ranges of the prior art of record in this application. Additionally, any such

Application/Control Number: 10/767,690

Art Unit: 1755

limitations are considered to be result-effective variables known in this field of technology.

Conclusion

Applicants are advised that any evidence to be provided under 37 CFR 1.131 or 1.132 and any amendments to the claims and specification should be submitted prior to final rejection to be considered timely. It is anticipated that the next office action will be a final rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth D. Wood whose telephone number is 571-272-1377. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elizabeth D. Wood

PRIMARY EXAMINER

AU 1753